IN THE UNTIED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (Se application of: Shui-Hung Chen	• • •
the application of: Shuf-Hung Chen	3/1
<b>y</b>	PATENT # 3/1
erial No. 10/006,269 Group No.: 281	4
iled: Dec. 4, 2001 Examiner: Date	na Farahani
or: Variable Trigger Voltage Silicon Controlled Rectifier	
ox Appeal ssistant Commissioner for Patents Vashington, D.C. 20231	
TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES  Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner mailed <u>Dec</u>	ember 3, 2002
	ember 3, 2002
Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner mailed <u>Dec</u> nally rejecting claims <u>1 - 39</u> .  The item(s) checked below are appropriate:	
Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner mailed <u>Dec</u> nally rejecting claims <u>1 - 39</u> .  The item(s) checked below are appropriate:	
Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner mailed <u>Dec</u> nally rejecting claims <u>1 - 39</u> .  The item(s) checked below are appropriate:	
Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner mailed <u>Dec</u> nally rejecting claims <u>1 - 39</u> .  The item(s) checked below are appropriate:	
Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner mailed <u>Dec</u> nally rejecting claims <u>1 - 39</u> .  The item(s) checked below are appropriate:	
Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner mailed <u>Dec</u> nally rejecting claims <u>1 - 39</u> .  The item(s) checked below are appropriate:	
Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner mailed <u>Dec</u> nally rejecting claims <u>1 - 39</u> .  The item(s) checked below are appropriate:	THOUSE VI
Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner mailed <u>Dec</u> nally rejecting claims <u>1 - 39</u> .  The item(s) checked below are appropriate:	
Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner mailed <u>Dec</u> nally rejecting claims <u>1 - 39</u> .  The item(s) checked below are appropriate:	

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8a)

Notice of Appeal fee due:

\$ 320.00

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

**MAILING** 

Ø deposited with the United States Postal Service filed by first class in an envelope addressed to: Box Appeal, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

Date: March 3,2003

03/11/2003 NMOHAMM1 00000114 10006269

01 FC:1401

320.00 DP

1

NOTE: The time periods set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.191 are subject to the provision of §1.136 for patent applications. 37 C.F.R. 1.191(d). (But see 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for extension of time in reexamination proceedings).

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply.

(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)-(d) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity
one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
two months	\$ 400.00	\$200.00
three months	\$ 920.00	\$460.00
four months	\$1,400.00	\$720.00

Fee \$

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for	or mo	nths	has a	ılread	ly beei	n secure	d. The fee	paid theref	or
of \$	is deducted f	rom t	he to	tal fe	e due :	for the t	otal months	of extensi	on
now requested.									
							_		

Extension fee due with this request \$\_\_\_\_\_

or

- (b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.
- 4. TOTAL FEE DUE

The total fee due is:

Notice of Appeal fee \$\_320.00 Extension fee (if any)\$\_\_\_\_

TOTAL FEE DUE: \$ \_ 320.00

- 5. FEE PAYMENT
  - $\Box$  Attached is a check in the sum of \$ 0
  - Charge Visa Credit Card No. <u>4756 8461 9568 0263</u> the sum of \$ 320.00 A duplicate copy of this transmittal is attached.

## 6. FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O. G. 31-33.

If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Visa Credit Card No. <u>4756 8461 9568 0263</u>

## AND/OR

☐ If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Visa Credit Card No. 4756 8461 9568 0263

Signature of Practitioner

Randy W. Tung (type or print name of attorney)

Tung & Associates P.O. Address 838 W. Long Lake Road - Suite 120 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48302

Reg. No. 31,311

Tel. No. (248) 540-4040